

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MH

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
9/240,524	01/29/99	GERNDT		R	KCC-14-026

QM02/0601

PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & FEJER 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES IL 60195

EXAMINER						
ATKINSON,	С					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
3743	10					
0	6/01/00					

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION							
	/ HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
u <u>⊌</u> r ir	TE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:						
a) [	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date	of the final rejection			
b) 🔽	expires three months from the e event however, will the statutor	date of the final rejection or as of the my period for the response expire later to	nailing date of this Advison han six months from the c	y Action, whichever is later. In no late of the final rejection.			
	The date on which the response purposes of determining the pe	obtained by filing a petition under 37 C e, the petition, and the fee have been riod of extension and the correspondin date of the originally set shortened sta	filed is the date of the resign amount of the fee. Any	ponse and also the date for the extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR			
☐ A	ppellant's Brief is due in accordan	ce with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
	oplicant's response to the final reje place the application in condition	ection, filed has b for allowance:	een considered with the f	ollowing effect, but it is not deemed			
1.	The proposed amendments to the	ne daim and /or specification will not be	e entered and the final rej	jection stands because:			
	a. There is no convincing shorted.	owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is	necessary and was not earlier			
	b. They raise new issues that	at would require further consideration a	nd/or search. (See Note)	i.			
	c. They raise the issue of ne	ew matter. (See Note).					
	d. They are not deemed to appeal.	place the application in better form for	appeal by materially redu	icing or simplifying the issues for			
	e. They present additional of	laims without cancelling a correspondi	ng number of finally rejec	ted claims			
	_ ,,	_	ng namao o many rojoo	iou ouimo.			
	note: The proposes new issues and/or sea	Comendments to cla that would require	ims 1. 14and further cons	20 raise sideration			
2. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended of the non-allowable claims.	aims would be all	owed if submitted in a sep	parately filed amendment cancelling			
3. 🗹	Upon the filing an appeal, the pribe as follows:	roposed amendment 🔲 will be entere	ed will not be entered	and the status of the daims will			
	Claims allowed:	one		•			
	Claims objected to:	12					
	Claims rejected:	·25 · I	<u>.                                     </u>				
	However;						
	Applicant's response has or	vercome the following rejection(s):					
4. 🔲	The affidavit, exhibit or request	for reconsideration has been considere	ed but does not overcome	the rejection because			
5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be presented.	considered because applicant has no	t shown good and suffice	nt reasons why it was not earlier			
The	proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by	the examiner.	CHRESTOPHER ATTENDED			
Oth	ner			PRIMARY EXAMINER			
			6				

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)